

SPRING 2005 SCSU SURVEY OF SCSU STUDENTS

FREQUENCIES AND STUDENT DIRECTOR REPORTS

[Last revised 4/24/05 DRAFT

These are the UN-weighted overall frequencies. See methodology statement for more details. The sample demographics are a very close match to the known student population but were a bit high on seniors. Later we will probably weight the sample for class standing but this should have minimum effect on the results.

Use the valid %. Normally, the small amount who refused are excluded from survey analysis. Also watch skip patterns as small questions have fewer respondents as respondents may not have been asked the question. Sometimes, respondents who state don't know, etc. may be excluded in other analyses.

777

QTRACK is scsu on right track

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	right track	394	78.3	78.8	78.8
	wrong dir	54	10.7	10.8	89.6
	dk	52	10.3	10.4	100.0
	Total	500	99.4	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	3	.6		
Total		503	100.0		

QPRIDE feel privde in scsu

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	always	159	31.6	31.7	31.7
	usually	176	35.0	35.1	66.7
	sometimes	129	25.6	25.7	92.4
	not very often	26	5.2	5.2	97.6
	never	9	1.8	1.8	99.4
	dk	3	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	502	99.8	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	1	.2		
Total		503	100.0		

QCHALL biggest challenge facing scsu

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	acad rep	18	3.6	3.6	3.6
	advis	5	1.0	1.0	4.6
	apathy	7	1.4	1.4	6.0
	bud state supp	10	2.0	2.0	8.0
	buildings fac	2	.4	.4	8.4
	cost tuition	59	11.7	11.8	20.3
	civility friend	5	1.0	1.0	21.3
	course major offerings	14	2.8	2.8	24.1
	diversity race	61	12.1	12.2	36.3
	drinking drugs	21	4.2	4.2	40.6
	enrollment growth	6	1.2	1.2	41.8
	fac quality	8	1.6	1.6	43.4
	image pub rela	14	2.8	2.8	46.2
	jobs for grads	2	.4	.4	46.6
	keeping stud inform	3	.6	.6	47.2

	lib hrs holdings	2	.4	.4	47.6
	off campus hous	1	.2	.2	47.8
	parking	100	19.9	20.1	67.9
	sports rep	2	.4	.4	68.3
	st cloud comm	4	.8	.8	69.1
	stud quality	4	.8	.8	69.9
	scsu bud surp	3	.6	.6	70.5
	univ admin	6	1.2	1.2	71.7
	other	44	8.7	8.8	80.5
	dk	97	19.3	19.5	100.0
	Total	498	99.0	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	5	1.0		
Total		503	100.0		

ASSIST past 2 year assist prof in res-schol

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid none	330	65.6	65.6	65.6
1-2	109	21.7	21.7	87.3
3-4	33	6.6	6.6	93.8
5-6	9	1.8	1.8	95.6
more then 6	19	3.8	3.8	99.4
dk	3	.6	.6	100.0
Total	503	100.0	100.0	

IMPORT how important prof research scholarly

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid strong agree	166	33.0	33.1	33.1

	agree	275	54.7	54.8	87.8
	disagree	36	7.2	7.2	95.0
	strong dis	8	1.6	1.6	96.6
	dk	17	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	502	99.8	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	1	.2		
Total		503	100.0		

COMP1 satisfied with student tech services

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very sat	125	24.9	24.9	24.9
	satis	316	62.8	62.8	87.7
	not sat	44	8.7	8.7	96.4
	not at all sat	5	1.0	1.0	97.4
	dk	13	2.6	2.6	100.0
Total		503	100.0	100.0	

comp2 how spend tech fee

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	single user name	26	5.2	5.2	5.2
	n of workstations	233	46.3	46.4	51.6
	more tech user support	24	4.8	4.8	56.4
	increase printers	43	8.5	8.6	64.9
	more tech training	18	3.6	3.6	68.5
	n of labseats	31	6.2	6.2	74.7
	access to new tech	109	21.7	21.7	96.4
	dk	18	3.6	3.6	100.0

	Total	502	99.8	100.0
Missing	ref miss oth	1	.2	
Total		503	100.0	

comp3 access tech resources one year from now

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	scsu dialup	69	13.7	13.7	13.7
	other dialup	50	9.9	10.0	23.7
	broadband access	332	66.0	66.1	89.8
	vol wont be at scsu next year	26	5.2	5.2	95.0
	dk	25	5.0	5.0	100.0
	Total	502	99.8	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	1	.2		
Total		503	100.0		

comp4 which mobile device multiple response

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1. PDA	64	12.7	12.7	12.7
	2. laptop	240	47.7	47.7	60.4
	3. tablet	3	.6	.6	61.0
	4. smart phone	10	2.0	2.0	63.0
	5. other (_____)	27	5.4	5.4	68.4
	6. NONE-VOLUNEERED	145	28.8	28.8	97.2
	7. DONT KNOW	13	2.6	2.6	99.8
	8. REFUSED	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		503	100.0	100.0	

comp4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1. PDA	4	.8	5.1	5.1
	2. laptop	40	8.0	50.6	55.7
	3. tablet	4	.8	5.1	60.8
	4. smart phone	17	3.4	21.5	82.3
	5. other (_____)	11	2.2	13.9	96.2
	6. NONE-VOLUNEERED	3	.6	3.8	100.0
	Total	79	15.7	100.0	
Missing	9. NO OTHER RESPONSE	424	84.3		
Total		503	100.0		

comp4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1. PDA	1	.2	7.1	7.1
	3. tablet	5	1.0	35.7	42.9
	4. smart phone	4	.8	28.6	71.4
	5. other (_____)	4	.8	28.6	100.0
	Total	14	2.8	100.0	
Missing	9. NO OTHER RESPONSE	65	12.9		
	System	424	84.3		
	Total	489	97.2		
Total		503	100.0		

comp4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	4. smart phone	4	.8	80.0	80.0

	5. other (_____) _____)	1	.2	20.0	100.0
Missing	Total	5	1.0	100.0	
	9. NO OTHER RESPONSE	9	1.8		
	System	489	97.2		
	Total	498	99.0		
Total		503	100.0		

comp4

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	9. NO OTHER RESPONSE	5	1.0
	System	498	99.0
	Total	503	100.0

comp4

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	503	100.0

comp4

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	503	100.0

comp4

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	503	100.0

comp4

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	503	100.0

comp4

		Frequency	Percent

Missing	System	503	100.0
---------	--------	-----	-------

comp5 view on print credit

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very high	41	8.2	8.2	8.2
	high	141	28.0	28.0	36.2
	low	140	27.8	27.8	64.0
	very low	55	10.9	10.9	75.0
	vol just right	117	23.3	23.3	98.2
	dk	9	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	503	100.0	100.0	

MGM1 how many mgm taken

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	one	48	9.5	9.5	9.5
	two	57	11.3	11.3	20.9
	three	151	30.0	30.0	50.9
	more then three	137	27.2	27.2	78.1
	none	67	13.3	13.3	91.5
	dk	43	8.5	8.5	100.0
	Total	503	100.0	100.0	

MGM2 effect of mgm on tolerance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	more	205	40.8	52.2	52.2
	less	20	4.0	5.1	57.3
	no effect	166	33.0	42.2	99.5
		2	.4	.5	100.0

	dk			
	Total	393	78.1	100.0
Missing	System	110	21.9	
Total		503	100.0	

MGM3 how many mgm should incoming students take

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	30	6.0	6.0	6.0
	1	64	12.7	12.9	18.9
	2	73	14.5	14.7	33.5
	3	239	47.5	48.0	81.5
	4	23	4.6	4.6	86.1
	5	12	2.4	2.4	88.6
	6	6	1.2	1.2	89.8
	10	3	.6	.6	90.4
	dk	48	9.5	9.6	100.0
	Total	498	99.0	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	5	1.0		
Total		503	100.0		

WC1 scsu opportunities for woment full potential

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strong agree	162	32.2	32.2	32.2
	agree	298	59.2	59.2	91.5
	disagree	25	5.0	5.0	96.4
	strong dis	1	.2	.2	96.6
	dk	17	3.4	3.4	100.0
Total		503	100.0	100.0	

WC2 aware of women center resources

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very aware	50	9.9	9.9	9.9
	somewhat aware	197	39.2	39.2	49.1
	not very aware	145	28.8	28.8	77.9
	not at all aware	105	20.9	20.9	98.8
	dk	6	1.2	1.2	100.0
	Total	503	100.0	100.0	

WC3 is sexual assault a major problem on campus

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strong agree	64	12.7	12.8	12.8
	agree	166	33.0	33.1	45.9
	disagree	169	33.6	33.7	79.6
	strong dis	20	4.0	4.0	83.6
	dk	82	16.3	16.4	100.0
	Total	501	99.6	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	2	.4		
	Total	503	100.0		

BUSH rate Bush 100 point scale

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	75	14.9	15.2	15.2
	1	6	1.2	1.2	16.5
	2	5	1.0	1.0	17.5
	3	1	.2	.2	17.7
	4	1	.2	.2	17.9
	5	10	2.0	2.0	19.9

10	31	6.2	6.3	26.2
15	11	2.2	2.2	28.5
17	1	.2	.2	28.7
20	33	6.6	6.7	35.4
25	21	4.2	4.3	39.6
27	1	.2	.2	39.8
29	1	.2	.2	40.0
30	18	3.6	3.7	43.7
32	1	.2	.2	43.9
35	4	.8	.8	44.7
37	1	.2	.2	44.9
39	2	.4	.4	45.3
40	25	5.0	5.1	50.4
45	4	.8	.8	51.2
47	1	.2	.2	51.4
48	1	.2	.2	51.6
49	1	.2	.2	51.8
50	79	15.7	16.1	67.9
55	3	.6	.6	68.5
60	14	2.8	2.8	71.3
62	1	.2	.2	71.5
65	6	1.2	1.2	72.8
70	20	4.0	4.1	76.8
72	1	.2	.2	77.0
75	39	7.8	7.9	85.0

	79	1	.2	.2	85.2
	80	24	4.8	4.9	90.0
	85	6	1.2	1.2	91.3
	87	1	.2	.2	91.5
	90	19	3.8	3.9	95.3
	91	1	.2	.2	95.5
	95	6	1.2	1.2	96.7
	100	16	3.2	3.3	100.0
	Total	492	97.8	100.0	
Missing	710	1	.2		
	dont know	5	1.0		
	ref miss oth	5	1.0		
	Total	11	2.2		
Total		503	100.0		

SAIGO rate 100 point scale

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	5	1.0	1.8	1.8
	5	1	.2	.4	2.1
	10	4	.8	1.4	3.5
	15	2	.4	.7	4.2
	20	1	.2	.4	4.6
	25	6	1.2	2.1	6.7
	30	6	1.2	2.1	8.8
	35	3	.6	1.1	9.8
	40	8	1.6	2.8	12.6
	45	4	.8	1.4	14.0

49	3	.6	1.1	15.1
50	109	21.7	38.2	53.3
51	1	.2	.4	53.7
52	1	.2	.4	54.0
55	4	.8	1.4	55.4
58	1	.2	.4	55.8
60	19	3.8	6.7	62.5
63	1	.2	.4	62.8
65	4	.8	1.4	64.2
67	1	.2	.4	64.6
70	22	4.4	7.7	72.3
72	2	.4	.7	73.0
75	22	4.4	7.7	80.7
77	1	.2	.4	81.1
80	24	4.8	8.4	89.5
85	12	2.4	4.2	93.7
88	1	.2	.4	94.0
90	6	1.2	2.1	96.1
95	6	1.2	2.1	98.2
98	1	.2	.4	98.6
99	1	.2	.4	98.9
100	3	.6	1.1	100.0
Total	285	56.7	100.0	
Missing cant judge	14	2.8		
dont know	203	40.4		
	1	.2		

	ref miss oth				
	Total	218	43.3		
Total		503	100.0		

KIMBALL rate 100 point scale

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	3	.6	2.4	2.4
	10	1	.2	.8	3.2
	20	1	.2	.8	4.0
	25	3	.6	2.4	6.4
	30	6	1.2	4.8	11.2
	40	2	.4	1.6	12.8
	45	2	.4	1.6	14.4
	50	62	12.3	49.6	64.0
	51	1	.2	.8	64.8
	55	2	.4	1.6	66.4
	60	10	2.0	8.0	74.4
	65	1	.2	.8	75.2
	70	2	.4	1.6	76.8
	75	8	1.6	6.4	83.2
	80	4	.8	3.2	86.4
	85	5	1.0	4.0	90.4
	88	2	.4	1.6	92.0
	89	1	.2	.8	92.8
	90	3	.6	2.4	95.2
	95	1	.2	.8	96.0
		5	1.0	4.0	100.0

	100			
Missing	Total	125	24.9	100.0
	cant judge	15	3.0	
	dont know	359	71.4	
	ref miss oth	4	.8	
	Total	378	75.1	
Total		503	100.0	

DAHL rate 100 point scale

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	6	1.2	3.5	3.5
	10	2	.4	1.2	4.7
	20	4	.8	2.3	7.0
	25	1	.2	.6	7.6
	30	5	1.0	2.9	10.5
	40	6	1.2	3.5	14.0
	45	3	.6	1.8	15.8
	49	1	.2	.6	16.4
	50	68	13.5	39.8	56.1
	51	2	.4	1.2	57.3
	55	3	.6	1.8	59.1
	60	18	3.6	10.5	69.6
	65	7	1.4	4.1	73.7
	67	1	.2	.6	74.3
	70	13	2.6	7.6	81.9
	75	8	1.6	4.7	86.5
	80	9	1.8	5.3	91.8

	81	1	.2	.6	92.4
	85	2	.4	1.2	93.6
	87	1	.2	.6	94.2
	88	3	.6	1.8	95.9
	90	3	.6	1.8	97.7
	100	4	.8	2.3	100.0
	Total	171	34.0	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	16	3.2		
	dont know	314	62.4		
	ref miss oth	2	.4		
	Total	332	66.0		
Total		503	100.0		

REPUB rate 100 point scale

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	30	6.0	6.2	6.2
	1	2	.4	.4	6.6
	4	1	.2	.2	6.8
	5	3	.6	.6	7.4
	7	1	.2	.2	7.6
	10	14	2.8	2.9	10.5
	15	5	1.0	1.0	11.6
	20	22	4.4	4.5	16.1
	25	13	2.6	2.7	18.8
	27	1	.2	.2	19.0
	30	21	4.2	4.3	23.3
	35	8	1.6	1.7	25.0

	40	33	6.6	6.8	31.8
	45	14	2.8	2.9	34.7
	49	4	.8	.8	35.5
	50	162	32.2	33.5	69.0
	51	3	.6	.6	69.6
	53	1	.2	.2	69.8
	55	5	1.0	1.0	70.9
	56	1	.2	.2	71.1
	60	16	3.2	3.3	74.4
	65	3	.6	.6	75.0
	67	1	.2	.2	75.2
	70	18	3.6	3.7	78.9
	75	30	6.0	6.2	85.1
	80	25	5.0	5.2	90.3
	85	8	1.6	1.7	91.9
	90	15	3.0	3.1	95.0
	91	1	.2	.2	95.2
	95	1	.2	.2	95.5
	99	1	.2	.2	95.7
	100	21	4.2	4.3	100.0
	Total	484	96.2	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	4	.8		
	dont know	9	1.8		
	ref miss oth	6	1.2		
	Total	19	3.8		
Total		503	100.0		

DEMS RATE 100 point scale

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	12	2.4	2.5	2.5
	5	1	.2	.2	2.7
	6	1	.2	.2	2.9
	10	3	.6	.6	3.5
	15	1	.2	.2	3.7
	17	1	.2	.2	3.9
	20	11	2.2	2.3	6.1
	25	10	2.0	2.0	8.2
	30	14	2.8	2.9	11.1
	35	5	1.0	1.0	12.1
	40	25	5.0	5.1	17.2
	45	11	2.2	2.3	19.5
	47	2	.4	.4	19.9
	49	1	.2	.2	20.1
	50	169	33.6	34.6	54.7
	51	1	.2	.2	54.9
	52	1	.2	.2	55.1
	55	9	1.8	1.8	57.0
	56	1	.2	.2	57.2
	60	47	9.3	9.6	66.8
	63	1	.2	.2	67.0
	65	9	1.8	1.8	68.9
	68	1	.2	.2	69.1

	70	37	7.4	7.6	76.6
	72	1	.2	.2	76.8
	75	37	7.4	7.6	84.4
	80	34	6.8	7.0	91.4
	82	1	.2	.2	91.6
	84	1	.2	.2	91.8
	85	3	.6	.6	92.4
	90	21	4.2	4.3	96.7
	95	1	.2	.2	96.9
	99	1	.2	.2	97.1
	100	14	2.8	2.9	100.0
	Total	488	97.0	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	3	.6		
	dont know	7	1.4		
	ref miss oth	5	1.0		
	Total	15	3.0		
Total		503	100.0		

SUPREM rate white supremacists

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	284	56.5	62.7	62.7
	1	12	2.4	2.6	65.3
	2	4	.8	.9	66.2
	3	2	.4	.4	66.7
	5	12	2.4	2.6	69.3
	10	20	4.0	4.4	73.7
	15	9	1.8	2.0	75.7

20	22	4.4	4.9	80.6
25	6	1.2	1.3	81.9
30	8	1.6	1.8	83.7
35	2	.4	.4	84.1
40	4	.8	.9	85.0
49	1	.2	.2	85.2
50	51	10.1	11.3	96.5
60	7	1.4	1.5	98.0
70	4	.8	.9	98.9
75	1	.2	.2	99.1
80	1	.2	.2	99.3
90	1	.2	.2	99.6
95	1	.2	.2	99.8
100	1	.2	.2	100.0
	453	90.1	100.0	
Missing	Total cant judge	7	1.4	
	dont know	37	7.4	
	ref miss oth	6	1.2	
	Total	50	9.9	
Total	503	100.0		

PETA rate animal activists such as PETA

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	40	8.0	8.5	8.5
	1	4	.8	.8	9.3
	2	2	.4	.4	9.8
	3	1	.2	.2	10.0

4	1	.2	.2	10.2
5	9	1.8	1.9	12.1
8	1	.2	.2	12.3
10	11	2.2	2.3	14.6
15	7	1.4	1.5	16.1
17	1	.2	.2	16.3
20	15	3.0	3.2	19.5
25	14	2.8	3.0	22.5
30	16	3.2	3.4	25.9
35	3	.6	.6	26.5
40	37	7.4	7.9	34.4
42	1	.2	.2	34.6
45	9	1.8	1.9	36.5
48	1	.2	.2	36.7
50	118	23.5	25.1	61.8
51	4	.8	.8	62.6
52	1	.2	.2	62.8
55	6	1.2	1.3	64.1
60	36	7.2	7.6	71.8
62	1	.2	.2	72.0
65	10	2.0	2.1	74.1
70	25	5.0	5.3	79.4
75	29	5.8	6.2	85.6
80	31	6.2	6.6	92.1
85	7	1.4	1.5	93.6

	90	11	2.2	2.3	96.0
	95	4	.8	.8	96.8
	98	1	.2	.2	97.0
	99	1	.2	.2	97.2
	100	13	2.6	2.8	100.0
	Total	471	93.6	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	6	1.2		
	dont know	21	4.2		
	ref miss oth	5	1.0		
	Total	32	6.4		
Total		503	100.0		

BLMIL rate black militants

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	119	23.7	30.2	30.2
	1	7	1.4	1.8	32.0
	2	1	.2	.3	32.2
	3	2	.4	.5	32.7
	4	2	.4	.5	33.2
	5	9	1.8	2.3	35.5
	6	1	.2	.3	35.8
	7	2	.4	.5	36.3
	10	17	3.4	4.3	40.6
	15	9	1.8	2.3	42.9
	20	23	4.6	5.8	48.7
	25	9	1.8	2.3	51.0
	30	21	4.2	5.3	56.3

	35	2	.4	.5	56.9
	40	16	3.2	4.1	60.9
	45	4	.8	1.0	61.9
	50	114	22.7	28.9	90.9
	55	2	.4	.5	91.4
	60	11	2.2	2.8	94.2
	65	2	.4	.5	94.7
	70	5	1.0	1.3	95.9
	75	6	1.2	1.5	97.5
	80	7	1.4	1.8	99.2
	90	1	.2	.3	99.5
	100	2	.4	.5	100.0
	Total	394	78.3	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	15	3.0		
	dont know	84	16.7		
	ref miss oth	10	2.0		
	Total	109	21.7		
Total		503	100.0		

JEWS rate jews

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	6	1.2	1.3	1.3
	1	1	.2	.2	1.5
	3	1	.2	.2	1.8
	5	1	.2	.2	2.0
	10	1	.2	.2	2.2
	20	1	.2	.2	2.4

30	3	.6	.7	3.1
40	7	1.4	1.5	4.6
44	1	.2	.2	4.9
50	216	42.9	47.8	52.7
60	18	3.6	4.0	56.6
65	1	.2	.2	56.9
70	21	4.2	4.6	61.5
75	26	5.2	5.8	67.3
80	36	7.2	8.0	75.2
81	2	.4	.4	75.7
85	3	.6	.7	76.3
90	23	4.6	5.1	81.4
92	1	.2	.2	81.6
95	7	1.4	1.5	83.2
99	2	.4	.4	83.6
100	74	14.7	16.4	100.0
Total	452	89.9	100.0	
Missing	Total cant judge	16	3.2	
	dont know	24	4.8	
	ref miss oth	11	2.2	
Total	Total	51	10.1	
		503	100.0	

ANTABOR rate pro life groups

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	22	4.4	4.7	4.7
	1	3	.6	.6	5.4

2	1	.2	.2	5.6
3	2	.4	.4	6.0
4	1	.2	.2	6.2
5	1	.2	.2	6.4
10	6	1.2	1.3	7.7
15	6	1.2	1.3	9.0
17	1	.2	.2	9.2
20	14	2.8	3.0	12.2
25	8	1.6	1.7	13.9
30	27	5.4	5.8	19.7
35	1	.2	.2	20.0
40	21	4.2	4.5	24.5
45	3	.6	.6	25.1
49	1	.2	.2	25.3
50	150	29.8	32.2	57.5
51	2	.4	.4	57.9
55	3	.6	.6	58.6
60	33	6.6	7.1	65.7
65	3	.6	.6	66.3
67	1	.2	.2	66.5
70	26	5.2	5.6	72.1
75	20	4.0	4.3	76.4
80	30	6.0	6.4	82.8
81	1	.2	.2	83.0
85	2	.4	.4	83.5

	90	23	4.6	4.9	88.4
	94	1	.2	.2	88.6
	95	5	1.0	1.1	89.7
	99	2	.4	.4	90.1
	100	46	9.1	9.9	100.0
	Total	466	92.6	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	5	1.0		
	dont know	24	4.8		
	ref miss oth	8	1.6		
	Total	37	7.4		
Total		503	100.0		

HOMSEX rate homosexual activist groups

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	30	6.0	6.2	6.2
	1	3	.6	.6	6.8
	2	1	.2	.2	7.0
	5	1	.2	.2	7.2
	10	8	1.6	1.7	8.9
	15	2	.4	.4	9.3
	20	8	1.6	1.7	11.0
	25	10	2.0	2.1	13.0
	30	8	1.6	1.7	14.7
	35	2	.4	.4	15.1
	40	14	2.8	2.9	18.0
	45	7	1.4	1.4	19.4
	50	172	34.2	35.5	55.0

51	1	.2	.2	55.2
55	2	.4	.4	55.6
60	44	8.7	9.1	64.7
62	1	.2	.2	64.9
65	6	1.2	1.2	66.1
70	22	4.4	4.5	70.7
75	37	7.4	7.6	78.3
80	41	8.2	8.5	86.8
85	4	.8	.8	87.6
90	17	3.4	3.5	91.1
92	1	.2	.2	91.3
95	7	1.4	1.4	92.8
98	1	.2	.2	93.0
99	1	.2	.2	93.2
100	33	6.6	6.8	100.0
Total	484	96.2	100.0	
Missing				
cant judge	3	.6		
dont know	6	1.2		
960	1	.2		
ref miss oth	9	1.8		
Total	19	3.8		
Total	503	100.0		

FLAG rate american flag burners

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	199	39.6	42.7	42.7
	1	5	1.0	1.1	43.8

2	2	.4	.4	44.2
3	1	.2	.2	44.4
5	13	2.6	2.8	47.2
10	35	7.0	7.5	54.7
12	1	.2	.2	54.9
15	6	1.2	1.3	56.2
20	34	6.8	7.3	63.5
25	12	2.4	2.6	66.1
30	25	5.0	5.4	71.5
31	1	.2	.2	71.7
35	1	.2	.2	71.9
40	7	1.4	1.5	73.4
45	2	.4	.4	73.8
50	88	17.5	18.9	92.7
55	3	.6	.6	93.3
60	10	2.0	2.1	95.5
65	1	.2	.2	95.7
70	3	.6	.6	96.4
72	1	.2	.2	96.6
75	4	.8	.9	97.4
80	5	1.0	1.1	98.5
85	1	.2	.2	98.7
90	2	.4	.4	99.1
100	4	.8	.9	100.0
Total	466	92.6	100.0	

Missing	cant judge	3	.6		
	dont know	24	4.8		
	ref miss oth	10	2.0		
	Total	37	7.4		
Total		503	100.0		

PROABOR rate pro choice groups

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	30	6.0	6.4	6.4
	1	3	.6	.6	7.0
	2	2	.4	.4	7.4
	3	1	.2	.2	7.6
	5	4	.8	.8	8.5
	10	6	1.2	1.3	9.8
	15	3	.6	.6	10.4
	20	16	3.2	3.4	13.8
	25	3	.6	.6	14.4
	30	14	2.8	3.0	17.4
	35	1	.2	.2	17.6
	40	14	2.8	3.0	20.6
	45	4	.8	.8	21.4
	49	1	.2	.2	21.7
	50	155	30.8	32.9	54.6
	51	2	.4	.4	55.0
	55	5	1.0	1.1	56.1
	59	1	.2	.2	56.3
	60	41	8.2	8.7	65.0

	62	1	.2	.2	65.2
	65	4	.8	.8	66.0
	70	23	4.6	4.9	70.9
	72	2	.4	.4	71.3
	75	29	5.8	6.2	77.5
	80	41	8.2	8.7	86.2
	85	3	.6	.6	86.8
	90	20	4.0	4.2	91.1
	92	1	.2	.2	91.3
	95	8	1.6	1.7	93.0
	99	1	.2	.2	93.2
	100	32	6.4	6.8	100.0
	Total	471	93.6	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	3	.6		
	dont know	20	4.0		
	ref miss oth	9	1.8		
	Total	32	6.4		
Total		503	100.0		

COMMUN rate communists

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	117	23.3	25.3	25.3
	1	6	1.2	1.3	26.6
	2	2	.4	.4	27.1
	3	1	.2	.2	27.3
	5	20	4.0	4.3	31.6
	10	30	6.0	6.5	38.1

15	6	1.2	1.3	39.4
20	46	9.1	10.0	49.4
25	14	2.8	3.0	52.4
30	30	6.0	6.5	58.9
35	6	1.2	1.3	60.2
40	15	3.0	3.2	63.4
43	1	.2	.2	63.6
45	5	1.0	1.1	64.7
50	130	25.8	28.1	92.9
55	2	.4	.4	93.3
56	1	.2	.2	93.5
60	8	1.6	1.7	95.2
69	1	.2	.2	95.5
70	6	1.2	1.3	96.8
75	4	.8	.9	97.6
80	7	1.4	1.5	99.1
85	1	.2	.2	99.4
90	1	.2	.2	99.6
100	2	.4	.4	100.0
Total	462	91.8	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	13	2.6	
	dont know	18	3.6	
	ref miss oth	10	2.0	
	Total	41	8.2	
Total	503	100.0		

GREEN rate green peace

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	7	1.4	1.6	1.6
	2	2	.4	.5	2.1
	3	3	.6	.7	2.8
	5	2	.4	.5	3.2
	10	8	1.6	1.8	5.0
	15	2	.4	.5	5.5
	20	13	2.6	3.0	8.5
	25	9	1.8	2.1	10.6
	30	10	2.0	2.3	12.8
	32	1	.2	.2	13.1
	35	4	.8	.9	14.0
	40	15	3.0	3.4	17.4
	45	4	.8	.9	18.3
	49	1	.2	.2	18.6
	50	159	31.6	36.5	55.0
	51	3	.6	.7	55.7
	55	5	1.0	1.1	56.9
	59	1	.2	.2	57.1
	60	31	6.2	7.1	64.2
	65	5	1.0	1.1	65.4
	70	30	6.0	6.9	72.2
	75	25	5.0	5.7	78.0
	79	1	.2	.2	78.2
	80	34	6.8	7.8	86.0

	85	8	1.6	1.8	87.8
	88	2	.4	.5	88.3
	90	19	3.8	4.4	92.7
	91	1	.2	.2	92.9
	95	3	.6	.7	93.6
	98	1	.2	.2	93.8
	99	1	.2	.2	94.0
	100	26	5.2	6.0	100.0
	Total	436	86.7	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	8	1.6		
	dont know	53	10.5		
	ref miss oth	6	1.2		
	Total	67	13.3		
Total		503	100.0		

MUSLIMS rate Muslims

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	5	1.0	1.1	1.1
	3	1	.2	.2	1.3
	5	2	.4	.4	1.7
	10	1	.2	.2	2.0
	20	4	.8	.9	2.8
	28	1	.2	.2	3.0
	30	7	1.4	1.5	4.6
	35	1	.2	.2	4.8
	40	12	2.4	2.6	7.4
	45	3	.6	.7	8.0

50	243	48.3	52.8	60.9
51	1	.2	.2	61.1
55	4	.8	.9	62.0
60	26	5.2	5.7	67.6
62	1	.2	.2	67.8
65	6	1.2	1.3	69.1
70	25	5.0	5.4	74.6
75	27	5.4	5.9	80.4
80	26	5.2	5.7	86.1
81	1	.2	.2	86.3
85	3	.6	.7	87.0
90	15	3.0	3.3	90.2
95	2	.4	.4	90.7
100	43	8.5	9.3	100.0
Total	460	91.5	100.0	
Missing				
cant judge	14	2.8		
dont know	18	3.6		
ref miss oth	11	2.2		
Total	43	8.5		
Total	503	100.0		

PORN rate pornographers

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	174	34.6	36.3	36.3
	1	8	1.6	1.7	38.0
	2	3	.6	.6	38.6
	5	7	1.4	1.5	40.1

10	43	8.5	9.0	49.1
15	6	1.2	1.3	50.3
20	28	5.6	5.8	56.2
25	11	2.2	2.3	58.5
30	22	4.4	4.6	63.0
35	4	.8	.8	63.9
40	27	5.4	5.6	69.5
42	1	.2	.2	69.7
45	1	.2	.2	69.9
49	3	.6	.6	70.6
50	111	22.1	23.2	93.7
55	2	.4	.4	94.2
60	8	1.6	1.7	95.8
61	1	.2	.2	96.0
62	1	.2	.2	96.2
70	3	.6	.6	96.9
75	5	1.0	1.0	97.9
80	2	.4	.4	98.3
90	1	.2	.2	98.5
100	7	1.4	1.5	100.0
Total	479	95.2	100.0	
Missing				
cant judge	3	.6		
dont know	12	2.4		
ref miss oth	9	1.8		
Total	24	4.8		
Total	503	100.0		

CHRISTI rate christains

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	2	.4	.4	.4
	3	1	.2	.2	.6
	5	1	.2	.2	.8
	10	1	.2	.2	1.0
	20	1	.2	.2	1.2
	25	1	.2	.2	1.4
	30	8	1.6	1.6	3.1
	35	3	.6	.6	3.7
	40	6	1.2	1.2	4.9
	45	1	.2	.2	5.1
	49	1	.2	.2	5.3
	50	133	26.4	27.4	32.7
	51	1	.2	.2	32.9
	55	2	.4	.4	33.3
	60	31	6.2	6.4	39.7
	65	4	.8	.8	40.5
	70	32	6.4	6.6	47.1
	75	40	8.0	8.2	55.3
	80	48	9.5	9.9	65.2
	84	1	.2	.2	65.4
	85	8	1.6	1.6	67.1
	89	2	.4	.4	67.5
	90	43	8.5	8.8	76.3

	92	1	.2	.2	76.5
	95	6	1.2	1.2	77.8
	99	2	.4	.4	78.2
	100	106	21.1	21.8	100.0
	Total	486	96.6	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	4	.8		
	785	1	.2		
	dont know	2	.4		
	ref miss oth	10	2.0		
	Total	17	3.4		
Total		503	100.0		

ACLU rate american civil lib union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	10	2.0	2.5	2.5
	5	2	.4	.5	3.1
	10	2	.4	.5	3.6
	17	1	.2	.3	3.8
	20	7	1.4	1.8	5.6
	22	2	.4	.5	6.1
	25	4	.8	1.0	7.1
	35	2	.4	.5	7.6
	40	6	1.2	1.5	9.2
	50	148	29.4	37.7	46.8
	55	3	.6	.8	47.6
	59	1	.2	.3	47.8
	60	40	8.0	10.2	58.0

	65	9	1.8	2.3	60.3
	70	32	6.4	8.1	68.4
	72	1	.2	.3	68.7
	75	30	6.0	7.6	76.3
	80	31	6.2	7.9	84.2
	84	1	.2	.3	84.5
	85	2	.4	.5	85.0
	90	24	4.8	6.1	91.1
	95	3	.6	.8	91.9
	100	32	6.4	8.1	100.0
	Total	393	78.1	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	12	2.4		
	dont know	89	17.7		
	ref miss oth	9	1.8		
	Total	110	21.9		
Total		503	100.0		

NOW rate national organization for women

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	5	1.0	1.1	1.1
	10	1	.2	.2	1.3
	15	1	.2	.2	1.5
	20	5	1.0	1.1	2.5
	30	3	.6	.6	3.2
	35	1	.2	.2	3.4
	40	3	.6	.6	4.0
	49	1	.2	.2	4.2

	50	105	20.9	22.2	26.4
	51	1	.2	.2	26.6
	55	3	.6	.6	27.2
	60	39	7.8	8.2	35.4
	65	8	1.6	1.7	37.1
	70	46	9.1	9.7	46.8
	75	50	9.9	10.5	57.4
	80	64	12.7	13.5	70.9
	85	15	3.0	3.2	74.1
	89	1	.2	.2	74.3
	90	44	8.7	9.3	83.5
	95	13	2.6	2.7	86.3
	98	2	.4	.4	86.7
	100	63	12.5	13.3	100.0
	Total	474	94.2	100.0	
Missing	199	1	.2		
	cant judge	5	1.0		
	dont know	17	3.4		
	ref miss oth	6	1.2		
	Total	29	5.8		
Total		503	100.0		

ANTIHO rate groups against homosexuality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	201	40.0	41.8	41.8
	1	9	1.8	1.9	43.7
	2	3	.6	.6	44.3

3	3	.6	.6	44.9
5	11	2.2	2.3	47.2
7	1	.2	.2	47.4
10	52	10.3	10.8	58.2
15	5	1.0	1.0	59.3
20	32	6.4	6.7	65.9
22	1	.2	.2	66.1
25	13	2.6	2.7	68.8
30	24	4.8	5.0	73.8
35	3	.6	.6	74.4
40	16	3.2	3.3	77.8
45	2	.4	.4	78.2
49	1	.2	.2	78.4
50	65	12.9	13.5	91.9
54	1	.2	.2	92.1
55	1	.2	.2	92.3
60	8	1.6	1.7	94.0
70	5	1.0	1.0	95.0
75	5	1.0	1.0	96.0
80	3	.6	.6	96.7
85	1	.2	.2	96.9
90	4	.8	.8	97.7
100	11	2.2	2.3	100.0
Total	481	95.6	100.0	
Missing	8	1.6		
cant judge	4	.8		

dont know				
ref miss oth	10	2.0		
Total	22	4.4		
Total	503	100.0		

NRA rate national rifle associatioin

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	34	6.8	7.3	7.3
	1	3	.6	.6	8.0
	2	1	.2	.2	8.2
	5	15	3.0	3.2	11.4
	10	23	4.6	5.0	16.4
	15	6	1.2	1.3	17.7
	20	20	4.0	4.3	22.0
	22	1	.2	.2	22.2
	25	12	2.4	2.6	24.8
	30	19	3.8	4.1	28.9
	35	4	.8	.9	29.7
	40	12	2.4	2.6	32.3
	45	3	.6	.6	33.0
	47	1	.2	.2	33.2
	50	158	31.4	34.1	67.2
	55	2	.4	.4	67.7
	60	28	5.6	6.0	73.7
	62	1	.2	.2	73.9
	65	3	.6	.6	74.6
	68	1	.2	.2	74.8

	70	24	4.8	5.2	80.0
	75	20	4.0	4.3	84.3
	77	1	.2	.2	84.5
	80	25	5.0	5.4	89.9
	85	2	.4	.4	90.3
	90	10	2.0	2.2	92.5
	95	2	.4	.4	92.9
	98	1	.2	.2	93.1
	99	1	.2	.2	93.3
	100	31	6.2	6.7	100.0
	Total	464	92.2	100.0	
Missing	cant judge	7	1.4		
	dont know	24	4.8		
	ref miss oth	8	1.6		
	Total	39	7.8		
Total		503	100.0		

TOL1 agree with idea of freedom of speech

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strong agree	382	75.9	75.9	75.9
	agree	115	22.9	22.9	98.8
	disagree	1	.2	.2	99.0
	strong dis	4	.8	.8	99.8
	dk	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	503	100.0	100.0	

T2 should people be allowed to deface am flag as a pol statement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strong agree	43	8.5	8.7	8.7
	agree	111	22.1	22.3	31.0
	disagree	160	31.8	32.2	63.2
	strong dis	163	32.4	32.8	96.0
	dk	20	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	497	98.8	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	6	1.2		
Total		503	100.0		

T3 musicians sing songs with offensive lyrics

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strong agree	134	26.6	26.8	26.8
	agree	263	52.3	52.6	79.4
	disagree	63	12.5	12.6	92.0
	strong dis	12	2.4	2.4	94.4
	dk	28	5.6	5.6	100.0
	Total	500	99.4	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	3	.6		
Total		503	100.0		

TOL4 people should be allowed to practice religion however

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strong agree	285	56.7	56.9	56.9
	agree	196	39.0	39.1	96.0
	disagree	15	3.0	3.0	99.0
	strong dis	1	.2	.2	99.2
	dk	4	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	501	99.6	100.0	

	Total			
Missing	ref miss oth	2	.4	
Total		503	100.0	

TOL5 foes first amendment go too far in rights it guarantees

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strong agree	15	3.0	3.0	3.0
	agree	106	21.1	21.2	24.2
	disagree	256	50.9	51.3	75.6
	strong dis	72	14.3	14.4	90.0
	dk	50	9.9	10.0	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

LISTREP allow individual/group to speak on campus

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	479	95.2	95.6	95.6
	not allow	20	4.0	4.0	99.6
	dk	2	.4	.4	100.0
	Total	501	99.6	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	2	.4		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLDEM allow indiv group to speak on campus

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	484	96.2	96.6	96.6
	not allow	13	2.6	2.6	99.2
	dk	4	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	501	99.6	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	2	.4		

Total	503	100.0		
-------	-----	-------	--	--

WHITESUP allow indiv/group to speak on campus

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	147	29.2	29.5	29.5
	not allow	321	63.8	64.3	93.8
	dk	31	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLBLAMI allow inidv group to speak on campus

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	189	37.6	38.0	38.0
	not allow	249	49.5	50.1	88.1
	dk	59	11.7	11.9	100.0
	Total	497	98.8	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	6	1.2		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLCHR allow indiv group to speak on campus

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	455	90.5	91.0	91.0
	not allow	38	7.6	7.6	98.6
	dk	7	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	500	99.4	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	3	.6		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLCOM all inviv grop to speak on campus

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	271	53.9	54.3	54.3
	not allow	212	42.1	42.5	96.8
	dk	16	3.2	3.2	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLHOM allow indiv group to speak on campus

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	421	83.7	84.5	84.5
	not allow	68	13.5	13.7	98.2
	dk	9	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	498	99.0	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	5	1.0		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLGREEN allow indiv group to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	441	87.7	88.6	88.6
	not allow	23	4.6	4.6	93.2
	dk	34	6.8	6.8	100.0
	Total	498	99.0	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	5	1.0		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLFUNMU allow fund muslim to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	459	91.3	92.0	92.0
	not allow	36	7.2	7.2	99.2

	dk	4	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLJEW allow jew to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	462	91.8	92.6	92.6
	not allow	31	6.2	6.2	98.8
	dk	6	1.2	1.2	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLFLAG allow am flag burner to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	213	42.3	42.6	42.6
	not allow	276	54.9	55.2	97.8
	dk	11	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	500	99.4	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	3	.6		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLANTAB allow pro life to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	440	87.5	88.2	88.2
	not allow	47	9.3	9.4	97.6
	dk	12	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLPROAB allow pro choice to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	434	86.3	87.0	87.0
	not allow	52	10.3	10.4	97.4
	dk	13	2.6	2.6	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLPORN all porn to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	167	33.2	33.5	33.5
	not allow	321	63.8	64.3	97.8
	dk	11	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLACLU allow indiv group to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	414	82.3	83.0	83.0
	not allow	26	5.2	5.2	88.2
	dk	59	11.7	11.8	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLNOW allow indiv group to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	would allow	486	96.6	97.2	97.2
	not allow	8	1.6	1.6	98.8
	dk	6	1.2	1.2	100.0
	Total	500	99.4	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	3	.6		
Total		503	100.0		

ALLPETA allow indiv grop to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	446	88.7	89.2	89.2
	not allow	47	9.3	9.4	98.6
	dk	7	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	500	99.4	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	3	.6		
Total		503	100.0		

AGHOM allow indiv group to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	252	50.1	50.6	50.6
	not allow	234	46.5	47.0	97.6
	dk	12	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	498	99.0	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	5	1.0		
Total		503	100.0		

NRA2 allow indiv group to speak

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	would allow	382	75.9	76.7	76.7
	not allow	104	20.7	20.9	97.6
	dk	12	2.4	2.4	100.0

	Total	498	99.0	100.0
Missing	ref miss oth	5	1.0	
Total		503	100.0	

HOME1 aware male wsa elected homecoming queen

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	405	80.5	80.8	80.8
	no	93	18.5	18.6	99.4
	dk	3	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	501	99.6	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	2	.4		
Total		503	100.0		

HOME2 how strong feel about homecoming issue

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very strongly	137	27.2	35.6	35.6
	not at all stron	248	49.3	64.4	100.0
	Total	385	76.5	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	20	4.0		
	System	98	19.5		
	Total	118	23.5		
Total		503	100.0		

H3 position on what should be done homecoming activites

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	leave as stand	153	30.4	30.8	30.8
	change title to no sex specific	96	19.1	19.4	50.2
	add stipulaiton queen femal spec-male spec	143	28.4	28.8	79.0
	remove court from homeocming activities	31	6.2	6.3	85.3

	get rid of homecoming altogether	35	7.0	7.1	92.3
	dk	38	7.6	7.7	100.0
	Total	496	98.6	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	7	1.4		
Total		503	100.0		

TOL1A consider yourself a tolerant person

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strong agree	255	50.7	51.1	51.1
	agree	231	45.9	46.3	97.4
	disagree	8	1.6	1.6	99.0
	strong dis	2	.4	.4	99.4
	dk	3	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	499	99.2	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	4	.8		
Total		503	100.0		

polpar1 vote for pres in 2004

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	bush	149	29.6	32.4	32.4
	kerry	225	44.7	48.9	81.3
	nader	8	1.6	1.7	83.0
	didnt vote	67	13.3	14.6	97.6
	other	4	.8	.9	98.5
	dk	7	1.4	1.5	100.0
	Total	460	91.5	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	43	8.5		
Total		503	100.0		

polpar2 self described party affiliation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	rep	97	19.3	20.1	20.1
	dem	157	31.2	32.5	52.6
	ind party	16	3.2	3.3	55.9
	green	9	1.8	1.9	57.8
	indep no party	105	20.9	21.7	79.5
	other	71	14.1	14.7	94.2
	apolitical	5	1.0	1.0	95.2
	dk	23	4.6	4.8	100.0
	Total	483	96.0	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	20	4.0		
Total		503	100.0		

polpar3 self described political ideology

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very lib	55	10.9	11.2	11.2
	lib	170	33.8	34.6	45.8
	mod	163	32.4	33.2	79.0
	somewhat conser	78	15.5	15.9	94.9
	very conservative	14	2.8	2.9	97.8
	dk	11	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	491	97.6	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	12	2.4		
Total		503	100.0		

relig1 how often attend relig services

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	at least 1 a day	5	1.0	1.0	1.0

	at least once a week	60	11.9	12.1	13.1
	almost every week	49	9.7	9.9	23.0
	about once a month	116	23.1	23.4	46.4
	seldom	180	35.8	36.3	82.7
	never	83	16.5	16.7	99.4
	dk	3	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	496	98.6	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	7	1.4		
Total		503	100.0		

relig2 self described relig affiliation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	budd	21	4.2	4.2	4.2
	cath	161	32.0	32.0	36.2
	hindu	13	2.6	2.6	38.8
	jewish	1	.2	.2	39.0
	muslim	5	1.0	1.0	40.0
	prot	208	41.4	41.4	81.3
	mormon	3	.6	.6	81.9
	aeth agno none	62	12.3	12.3	94.2
	oth	18	3.6	3.6	97.8
	dk	2	.4	.4	98.2
	ref miss oth	9	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total		503	100.0	100.0	

GPA self described gpa

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
--	-----------	---------	---------------	--------------------

Valid	1.5 or less	2	.4	.4	.4
	1.6-2	5	1.0	1.0	1.4
	2.1-2.5	27	5.4	5.5	6.9
	2.5-3.0	157	31.2	31.8	38.7
	3.1-3.5	152	30.2	30.8	69.6
	3.6 or higher	138	27.4	28.0	97.6
	dk	12	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	493	98.0	100.0	
Missing	ref miss oth	10	2.0		
Total		503	100.0		

AREACODE

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	218	15	3.0	3.0	3.0
	320	367	73.0	73.0	75.9
	507	8	1.6	1.6	77.5
	605	3	.6	.6	78.1
	608	2	.4	.4	78.5
	612	21	4.2	4.2	82.7
	651	20	4.0	4.0	86.7
	701	3	.6	.6	87.3
	715	4	.8	.8	88.1
	718	1	.2	.2	88.3
	763	45	8.9	8.9	97.2
	952	14	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total		503	100.0	100.0	

GENDER gdenr from sample base

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	male	232	46.1	46.1	46.1
	female	271	53.9	53.9	100.0
	Total	503	100.0	100.0	

DORM libe in dorm from sample base

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no	422	83.9	83.9	83.9
	yes	81	16.1	16.1	100.0
	Total	503	100.0	100.0	

YEARBI year born from sample base

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	.2	.2	.2
	33	1	.2	.2	.4
	48	1	.2	.2	.6
	51	3	.6	.6	1.2
	52	3	.6	.6	1.8
	53	3	.6	.6	2.4
	55	1	.2	.2	2.6
	56	1	.2	.2	2.8
	57	4	.8	.8	3.6
	58	2	.4	.4	4.0
	59	1	.2	.2	4.2
	60	2	.4	.4	4.6
	62	2	.4	.4	5.0

63	1	.2	.2	5.2
64	2	.4	.4	5.6
66	3	.6	.6	6.2
67	1	.2	.2	6.4
68	4	.8	.8	7.2
69	3	.6	.6	7.8
70	8	1.6	1.6	9.3
71	3	.6	.6	9.9
72	2	.4	.4	10.3
73	4	.8	.8	11.1
74	3	.6	.6	11.7
75	6	1.2	1.2	12.9
76	8	1.6	1.6	14.5
77	12	2.4	2.4	16.9
78	21	4.2	4.2	21.1
79	25	5.0	5.0	26.0
80	29	5.8	5.8	31.8
81	51	10.1	10.1	41.9
82	78	15.5	15.5	57.5
83	86	17.1	17.1	74.6
84	59	11.7	11.7	86.3
85	49	9.7	9.7	96.0
86	20	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	503	100.0	100.0	

ETHNIC ethnic from sample base

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	black	2	.4	.5	.5
	asian	30	6.0	7.4	7.9
	white	366	72.8	90.1	98.0
	hispanic	4	.8	1.0	99.0
	native amer	4	.8	1.0	100.0
	Total	406	80.7	100.0	
Missing	missing	97	19.3		
Total		503	100.0		

CLASS class standing from sample base

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	special	3	.6	.6	.6
	fresh	53	10.5	10.5	11.1
	soph	75	14.9	14.9	26.0
	jun	109	21.7	21.7	47.7
	senior	197	39.2	39.2	86.9
	prev degree	13	2.6	2.6	89.5
	grad	53	10.5	10.5	100.0
	Total	503	100.0	100.0	

INTSTUD international student from sample base


		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no	451	89.7	89.7	89.7
	yes	52	10.3	10.3	100.0
	Total	503	100.0	100.0	

\$comp4 Frequencies


	Responses		Percent of Cases
	N	Percent	
comp4(a)			
1. PDA	69	11.5%	13.7%
2. laptop	280	46.7%	55.8%
3. tablet	12	2.0%	2.4%
4. smart phone	35	5.8%	7.0%
5. other (—)	43	7.2%	8.6%
6. NONE-VOLUNEERED	148	24.7%	29.5%
7. DONT KNOW	13	2.2%	2.6%
Total	600	100.0%	119.5%

a Group

Is SCSU on the Right Track or Headed in the Wrong Direction




Challenges Facing SCSU



MGM's

Sara Lohrman
Nicole Severson


How many MGM courses have you taken?




Most SCSU students have taken three or more MGM Classes

- One
- Two
- Three
- More than Three
- Don't know
- None

Do you think your MGM courses have made you more tolerant, less tolerant or had no effect on your tolerance?




How many classes do you think incoming Freshman should take?



2004 SCSU Homecoming

Presented By:
Jason Lunser
&
Nicole Kahler

Are You Aware That A Male Was Elected Homecoming Queen?




Are You Aware That A Male Was Elected Homecoming Queen?

	Frequency	Percent
Yes	405	81
No	92	19
Totals:	497	100


2005 SCSU Spring Student Survey

How Strongly Do You Feel About This Issue?



2005 SCSU Spring Student Survey

Which of The Following Would You Do, If Any, To Modify SCSU's Current Homecoming Activities?



2005 SCSU Spring Student Survey

Which of The Following Would You Do, If Any, To Modify SCSU's Current Homecoming Activities?

Options	Frequency	Percent
Leave as stands	153	31
Change titles	96	19
Gender Specific	143	29
Remove Court	31	6
Remove Homecoming	35	7
Don't Know	38	8
Totals:	496	100

2005 SCSU Spring Student Survey

Political Tolerance

- Presented by:
- Josh Mattison
- Sara Oldakowski
- Ngoc Phan
- Stacey Springer

Definition

- Political tolerance is the willingness to extend basic rights and civil liberties to persons and groups whose viewpoints differ from one's own.

Feeling Thermometer

“Please think of a thermometer that has a range of 0 to 100 degrees. I'd like you to rate your feelings toward some campus figures and other people who are in the news. Ratings on the thermometer between 50 and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you do not feel too favorable toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we will move on to the next one. If you do recognize the name, but do not feel particularly warm or cold toward the person, you would rate the person at the 50-degree mark.”



*Listed in order from highest to lowest

Group	Mean
Christians	72
National Organization of Women	72
Jews	65
American Civil Liberties Union	62
Muslims	60
Green Peace	57
Homosexual Activists	56
Democrats	56
Pro Life	56

Continued...

Group	Mean
Pro Choice	56
Republicans	49
Animal Activists such as PETA	48
National Rifle Association	48
Black Militants	28
Communists	27
Pornographers	24
American Flag Burners	21
A group against homosexuality	20
White Supremacists	12

“We would like you to imagine the following. I am going to list various groups or



individuals that some campus groups might want to invite to campus to make a speech. For each I am going to ask if you personally would allow that individual or group to speak at SCSU about their issue.”


*Listed in order from highest to lowest

Group	% Would Allow
National Organization for Women	97
Democrats	97
Republicans	96
Jews	93
Muslims	92
Christians	91
Animal Activists such as PETA	89
Green Peace	89
Pro Life	88
Pro Choice	87


Continued...

Group	% Would Allow
Homosexual Activists	85
American Civil Liberties Union	83
National Rifle Association	77
Communists	54
A group against homosexuality	51
American Flag Burners	43
Black Militants	38
Pornographers	34
White Supremacists	30


“Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with the idea of freedom of speech?”




“Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree that people should be allowed to burn or deface the American flag as a political statement?”




“Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree that musicians should be allowed to sing songs with lyrics that others might find offensive?”




“Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree that people should be allowed to practice their religion however they choose?”



“Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree that the First Amendment to the US Constitution goes too far in the rights it guarantees?”



“Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree that you would consider yourself a tolerant person?”



Other Findings

- 6 out of 10 people who agree with the idea of freedom of speech would not allow pornographers to speak on campus.
- Men are slightly more likely than women to disagree that the First Amendment goes too far.
- Those who agree that others should be allowed to burn or deface the flag are more likely to allow flag burners to speak on campus.

Other Findings

- Females are less likely than males to strongly agree with the idea of free speech.
- 9 out of 10 people who strongly agree that they are tolerant would allow a homosexual activist to speak on campus.



Any Questions?



GO TWINS!!!!
Johan Santana Rocks!

