STUDENT-MENTOR COLLABORATION GRANT

Evaluation Rubric

	Clearly stated; reviewer fully understands	Somewhat stated	Vaguely stated or imprecise	Not clearly stated; reviewer does not understand
Project goals and objectives of research/creative activity are clear.				
Research aligns with discipline or degree requirements.				
Methodology clearly states how project objectives will be accomplished, are well-defined, and achievable.				
Project timeline is realistic and demonstrates when project objectives will be accomplished.				
Dissemination plan is clear and well-articulated.				
Required budget spreadsheet submitted and budget items requested are justified, itemized, realistic, and calculated correctly. USE OF ALTERNATE BUDGET FORMATS WILL RESULT IN PROPOSALS BEING RETURNED WITHOUT REVIEW.				
Proposal is complete, concise and written in a professional manner: spell checked, submitted without typos, and reviewers outside the applicant's discipline are able to fully understand the proposed work/project.				
Mentoring plan is well articulated.				

Spring 2023 1