

Hellervik Prize Evaluation Form

St. Cloud State Uni	VERSITY		. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Reviewer Name:	Click or tap here to enter text.				
Applicant Name:	Click or tap here to enter text.				
11	1				
Using a scale of 0-15	5 points, evaluate how well the proposal meets the	following	g criteria.	•	
Evaluation Rubric		0 Does not meet	5 Somewhat meets	10 Meets standard	15 Exceeds standard
Research or Scholarly Activity					
Advances knowledge on issues of importance to students, college/school,					
university, and society.					
Background / Need / Significance / Value					
Project need and purpose explained. Project advances knowledge on issue(s)					
of importance as outlined.					
Goals and Objectives					
Tangible goals and objectives outlined align with scholarly need with					
outcome(s). Evaluation or assessment reasonable.					
Strategy / Methods / Design					
Procedures are sufficiently outlined and feasible for the project proposed.					
Innovation					
Innovation project in the advancement of knowledge or scholarly work.					
Timeline					
Reasonable timeline for proposed project and detail provided with					
completion dates of specific goals or objectives. Project is up to one year in					
length and supports one course reassigned time.					
Outcomes					
Contributes well to the faculty's professional development, significance of					
result to their teaching/position, and discipline.					
Benefits					
Project benefits students, college/school, university mission, and society.					
Budget					
Realistic and appropriate to meet the goals and objectives of the project.					
Sufficient justification of costs.					
Overall Quality		_			
Proposal is well written, professional in appearance, coherent, and consistent					
throughout.					
		Total Points:			
Reviewer Recom	mendation				
□ Fund					
☐ Partially Fund					
Amount:					
☐ Not Recommende	d				

FY2024 – updated 7/1/2023

Reviewer Comments: