STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE
Meeting Minutes
April 19, 2012

Members Present: Lisa Foss, David Sikes, Judith Kilborn, Jennifer Quinlan, Joe Melcher, Mark Petzold, David DeGroote, Mike Sharp, Jennifer Quinlan, Sarah Miles, Joshua Faust, Michele Mumm, Kerry Marrer, Diana Burlison, Lalita Subrahmanyan, John Burgeson, Mike Reedy, Lakshmaiah Sreerama, Mark Jaede, Debra Carlson, Debra Leigh, Mike Ernst, Michael Tripp, Rich Shearer, Dan Gregory, Dick Andzenge,

Minutes – March 1, 2012:
Approved - Addition of motion maker and second for the first motion.

Additional Agenda Items:

- Strategic Action Plan Survey – distribution
  - Wednesday, April 25, 2012 (launch)
  - Open beyond the end of the semester (time to respond)
- Possible summer SPC meeting(s)
  - HLC Quality Initiative Proposal
  - Dates will be decided at the last meeting of the semester

COSE Strategic Plan draft – Presentation by Mark Petzold

- Plans for ISELF made clear to the campus and community
  - Project based and not assigned to just one program
- Targeted outcomes – how are they measured? If we do all of this, what are we going to be and what will we have?
  - Comes down to the objective of wanting students to succeed – better prepared and more successful in the workplace which will tie back to the success of our programs.
  - Metrics of the 10 initiatives will be used to help measure student success.
- Integration plan – preparation for international students who make up 20% and bringing in more women into a program dominated largely by men
  - International students well prepared in theory but not as much in practice
- Challenge in bringing in local minority students and women – focus on recruitment
- Provost has charged the deans with improving STEM activities and recruitment
- Channel additional feedback to SPC members who are part of COSE

SHHS – Mission, Vision, Values (draft)

- Human Services seems to be under represented
- Core values seem to be somewhat general and broad and could be more focused.
Move that the document move forward for review by the President with the support of the SPC.
Debra Carlson/Joe Melcher
One objection Motion Passes

**University College Discussion – Miguel Martinez-Saenz**

- Status
  - Feedback collected campus wide – edits to document on a frequent basis
  - Timeline – target of July for completion, plan to President and Provost by end of April.
  - Some of the actions were a result of large scale change and recommendations that came out of the ASAOPSA process
  - Identify urgent elements and bring to workgroup as a focus
    - Staffing implications
    - Teaching/Advising appointments
  - Useful for SPC to highlight the parts that they agree should move forward and those that need further conversation
  - Link academic support to academic programs – how do we do that?
  - Recommendations from the revised document
  - Would it be useful to take out all the programmatic elements and just focus on the structures?
  - What is the process by which collaborations happen with University College?

**Revised Program Review Process -draft**

- Department/Programs – dashboards would be developed, determine relevant data sets
- Simple, singular input for data as opposed to multiple entry requirements
- Include a budgeting input tool
- Somewhat concerned about resources and time allocation
- Suggest some training for administrative services units who are not used to evaluating themselves.
- References the sub committee on budget and planning and they work that needs to be done in that area
- Be mindful of time management – most difficult piece of the process
- Consider a rolling budget plan (adjustments on an interim basis)
- Reconsidered at next meeting - entertain a motion at that time