Minutes for February 10, 2011

Approved with no changes.

Additional Agenda Items:

None

International Vision and Plan

Initial Observations

- The plan does not seem to mention activities that involve our relationship abroad or support for international students once they arrive on campus. An example of this would be the Lawrence Hall program designed to be an intentional living and learning environment for international and domestic students.
- The plan needs to articulate what the exact relationship of International Studies is with the other international efforts on campus.
- How does the plan speak to reorganization and the connection to the new structure, and how does it fit within the new structure?
- There seems to be a feedback loop missing in how we measure the success of the actions that are directly related to the recommendations.
- The plan should focus on groups that may specifically need help on campus, such as study abroad students, international students, recent refugees, and the various international student associations.
- It is important that this document be shared with CIS, the FA International Studies Committee, and Global/Area Studies as soon as possible. We do not want to limit our constituencies.
Motion: It is the responsibility of the SPC to ensure that CIS and the FA International Studies Committee be given the draft document for review and be asked to share their feedback with the SPC before the document is sent to the larger campus community.

Motion: Tony Akubue Second: Tom Hergert One objection. Motion Passes.

Motion: to table further discussion on the international vision and plan until the committee has a chance to review the full document.

Motion: Mike Sharp Second: Tracy Ore No objections Motion Passes.

Community of Scholars Plan

The main planning in the fall will focus on Graduate Studies and integration with the rest of the plans being developed. Example: Diversity Plan (section on Research)

The focus will be on the infrastructure that will support any decision and does not imply a specific direction we want to go and grow.

Concerns around how the process will unfold will be put on the agenda and talked about in the fall.

Motion: SPC recommends that the OSP/Graduate Studies be responsible for implementation of the Community of Scholars Plan, beginning with the Graduate Studies aspect of the plan in Fall 2011. OSP /GS will report progress to the SPC during Fall 2011.

Motion: Kristian Twombly Second: David DeGroote No objections. Motion Passes

Future structure and charge of Strategic Planning Committee

It was suggested that we may want to think about being more of an operational planning committee linked to the budget.

It was suggested that perhaps the current Academic Reorganization Steering Group be asked to develop a document of recommendations that comes as a draft document to SPC, is discussed and revised as appropriate, and then goes to the President/ Faculty Senate/Meet and Confer as part of our normal consultation process. This document would outline what the structure and charge of the SPC will be going forward.

Add motion here....
There is an understanding that the committee will monitor the implementation of the reorganization plan.

We need to receive clarification on what the charge of the committee is, how we go forward and what our relationship is to budget.

The makeup of the committee needs to parallel the governance structure.

It was suggested that it might be better to be proactive and propose what our structure might be rather than wait for someone to tell us what our structure should be.

Conversation was tabled for further discussion.

Discussion around whether or not there should be altering of the make up of the steering group.